

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/373,018	NASH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631	

All Participants:

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(2) Jeff Hsi.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

16, 54-63

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MA Moran
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that claims 16-22 and 73-76 appeared to be allowable. The examiner further stated that she would rejoin claims 54-63 and proposed an examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance. Mr. Hsi pointed out that the amendment to claim 61 appeared to be a typographical error and asked if the original subject matter of claim 61 could be restored by amendment. An agreement was reached and Mr. Hsi authorized an examiner's amendment..